

APPLICATION NO.

10/753,242

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

01/08/2004 Jerry Amato 35571 6758

01/18/2006 EXAMINER

PEARNE & GORDON LLP
1801 EAST 9TH STREET
SUITE 1200
CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108

FOX, JOHN C

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3753
DATE MAILED: 01/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		χU
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/753,242	AMATO, JERRY
	Examiner	Art Unit
	John Fox	3753
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 December 2005</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 and 42 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 and 42 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	·
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>		
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-7, 10-14, 33-34 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Assa et al in view of Blower et al.

Assa et al show the claimed device except for the transparent feature. Blower et al show a valve for plural fluid flows in which the body and cover can be transparent plastic to allow visual inspection of the interior. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to have made the valve and cover of the Assa et al valve of such transparent plastic to similarly allow for visual inspection of the interior thereof.

Applicant's remarks have been fully considered but are not persuasive. While Assa et al state that high pressure application makes plastic unsuitable, a routineer in the art would realize that in a low pressure application plastic is suitable, and moreover there are plastics which are suitable for high pressure applications. That Assa et al do not perceive and state this is not determinative of the issue under §103. Since designing a pressure vessel, such as a valve body, for the expected forces is a routine engineering matter, and both metal and plastic bodies are well known in all types of applications, the rejection is still seen to be proper and will be maintained. As to Blower et al, the method of making the valve is not at issue and applicant's argument that the valve of Assa et al cannot be made by machining is mere speculation.

Claims 8-9, 15-28, 35 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Assa et al in view of Blower et al and further in view of Morris.

Application/Control Number: 10/753,242 Page 3

Art Unit: 3753

Assa et al, as modified, show the claimed device except Assa et al show nuts and bolts and Blower et al show threads in the plastic body. Morris teaches a plastic housing and cover with inserts or T-nuts 28 to mount a transparent cover 46, see Figure 11. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to have used such inserts to attach the cover of Assa et al, as modified, to similarly attach the cover. The use of a metal for the T-nuts is seen to be an obvious expedient in view of the well known nature of metal threaded fasteners.

Applicant's remarks have been fully considered but are not persuasive. It is not agreed that the references teach away from the combination. Substituting one type of fastener for another is well within the ambit of §103.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/753,242 Page 4

Art Unit: 3753

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-4912. The examiner can normally be reached on Increased Flextime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blau Stephen can be reached on 571-272-4406. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3753